

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
Naci BASTURK)	Atty. Docket: ICB0098
)	
Application No. 09/783,286)	
(now U.S. Patent No. 6,903,784))	Examiner: QI, Zhi Qiang
)	
Filed: February 15, 2001)	
(Issued: June 7, 2005))	Art Unit: 2871
)	
For: DISPLAY ASSEMBLY WITH)	Confirmation No. 2663
CONTRAST INVERSION)	
INCLUDING TWO SUPERPOSED)	
DISPLAY DEVICES)	Date: May 12, 2006

REQUEST FOR REFUND

MAIL STOP 16

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

On June 2, 2004, an Amendment and Petition for Extension of Time for three months were filed. The undersigned authorized payment of both the additional claims fee of \$212 and the extension fee of \$950.00 through the undersigned's credit card when filing the response.

Upon review of the claims transmittal, it was noted that the undersigned erred in the number of claims when calculating the totals. The correct count should have been 24 total claims and 6 independent claims (current as of the amendment) with 4 additional total and 2 additional independent claims, rather than 7 additional total and 1 additional independent claims as on the transmittal. The total amount submitted should have been \$244.00 for additional claims and the \$950.00 extension fee totaling \$1194.00. The amount authorized, however, was \$32.00 short, i.e., \$1162.00.

Not only did the Patent Office charge the authorized \$950.00 and \$212.00 to our credit card, however, because of the \$32.00 shortage, the Patent Office also charged an

additional \$244.00 to our Deposit Account No. 50-1281 on June 18, 2004 (\$72.00 and \$172.00).

In view of this, it is requested that \$212.00 be promptly refunded to our Deposit Account No. 50-1281 to offset the additional charge.

Further, on November 23, 2004, the undersigned filed a response with a Petition for Extension of Time for 2 months and a Notice of Appeal. The undersigned authorized payment of the additional claims fee of \$318.00, the extension fee of \$430.00, and the appeal fee of \$340.00 through the undersigned's credit card when filing the response.


Upon review of the claims transmittal, it was noted that the undersigned again erred in the number of claims when calculating the total. The correct count should have been 14 total claims and 7 independent claims (current as of the amendment) with 0 additional total and 1 additional independent claim, rather than 3 additional total and 3 additional independent claims. The total amount submitted should have been \$88.00 for additional claims, \$430.00 for the extension fee and \$340.00 for the appeal fee, totaling \$858.00. The amount authorized and charged was \$1,088.00, resulting in an overpayment of \$230.00. It was noted that the extension fee should have been \$450.00, however, our Deposit Account was charged the \$20.00 deficiency on December 23, 2004 to correct this oversight.

In view of this it is requested that the \$230.00 overpayment be refunded to the undersigned's credit card, but preferably to Deposit Account 50-1281.

The undersigned awaits receipt of the total refund in the amount of \$442.00 in connection with the above matter.

Respectfully submitted,

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, P.C.



Joerg-Uwe Szimpl
Registration No. 31,799

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, P.C.
Suite PH-1, 2300 Ninth Street, South
Arlington, VA 22204
Telephone: (703) 979-5700
Facsimile: (703) 979-7429
Email: gands@szipl.com
Customer No.: 24203